arXiv:1612.06647v1 [math.ST] 20 Dec 2016

A CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR THE HELLINGER LOSS OF GRENANDER TYPE ESTIMATORS

HENDRIK P. LOPUHAÄ AND ENI MUSTA
DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Abstract. We consider Grenander type estimators for a monotone function $\lambda : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$, obtained as the slope of a concave (convex) estimate of the primitive of λ. Our main result is a central limit theorem for the Hellinger loss, which applies to statistical models that satisfy the setup in [5]. This includes estimation of a monotone density, for which the limiting variance of the Hellinger loss turns out to be independent of λ.

1. Introduction

One of the problems in shape constrained nonparametric statistics is to estimate a real valued function under monotonicity constraints. Early references for this type of problem can be found in [9], [3], and [22], concerning the estimation of a probability density, a regression function, and a failure rate under monotonicity constraints. The asymptotic distribution of these type of estimators was first obtained in [25, 24] and reproved in [11], who introduced a more accessible approach on based inverses. The latter approach initiated a stream of research on isotonic estimators, e.g., see [13, 15, 14, 21]. Typically, the pointwise asymptotic behavior of isotonice estimators is characterized by a cube-root n rate of convergence and a non-normal limit distribution.

The situation is different for global distances. In [11], a central limit theorem was obtained for the L_1-error of the Grenander estimator of a monotone density (see also [12]) and a similar result was established in [6] for the regression context. Extensions to general L_p-errors can be found in [18] and in [5], where the latter provides a unified approach that applies to a variety of statistical models. For the same general setup, an extremal limit theorem for the supremum distance has been obtained in [7].

Another widely used global measure of departure from the true parameter of interest is the Hellinger distance. It is a convenient metric in maximum likelihood problems, which goes back to [19, 20], and it has nice connections with Bernstein norms and empirical process theory methods to obtain rates of convergence, due fundamentally to [2], [29], and others, see Section 3.4 of [27] or Chapter 4 in [8] for a more detailed overview. Consistency

Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands
E-mail address: H.P.Lopuhaa@tudelft.nl, E.Musta@tudelft.nl.

Key words and phrases. Hellinger distance, isotonic estimation, central limit theorem, Grenander estimator.

in Hellinger distance of shape constrained maximum likelihood estimators has been investigated in [23], [26], and [4], whereas rates on Hellinger risk measures have been obtained in [26], [17], and [16].

In contrast with L_p-distances or the supremum distance, there is no distribution theory available for the Hellinger loss of shape constrained nonparametric estimators. In this paper we present a first result in this direction, i.e., a central limit theorem for the Hellinger loss of Grenander type estimators for a monotone function λ. This type of isotonic estimators have also been considered by [5], and are defined as the left-hand slope of a concave (or convex) estimate of the primitive of λ, based on n observations. We will establish our results under the same general setup of [5], which includes estimation of a probability density, a regression function, or a failure rate under monotonicity constraints. In fact, after approximating the squared Hellinger distance by a weighted L_2-distance, a central limit theorem can be obtained by mimicking the approach introduced in [5]. An interesting feature of our main result is that in the monotone density model, the variance of the limiting normal distribution for the Hellinger distance does not depend on the underlying density. This phenomena was also encountered for the L_1-distance in [11, 12].

In Section 2 we define the setup and approximate the squared Hellinger loss by a weighted L_2-distance. A central limit theorem for the Hellinger distance is established in Section 3, and we end the paper by a short discussion on the consequences for particular statistical models.

2. Definitions and preparatory results

Consider the problem of estimating a non-increasing (or non-decreasing) function $\lambda : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ on the basis of n observations. Suppose that we have at hand a cadlag step estimator Λ_n for

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(u)\,\mathrm{d}u, \qquad t \in [0,1].$$

If λ is non-increasing, then the Grenander-type estimator $\hat{\lambda}_n$ for λ is defined as the left-hand slope of the least concave majorant (LCM) of Λ_n, with $\hat{\lambda}_n(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \hat{\lambda}_n(t)$. If λ is non-decreasing, then the Grenander-type estimator $\hat{\lambda}_n$ for λ is defined as the left-hand slope of the greatest convex minorant (GCM) of Λ_n, with $\hat{\lambda}_n(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \hat{\lambda}_n(t)$. We aim at proving the asymptotic normality of the Hellinger distance between $\hat{\lambda}_n$ and λ defined by

$$H(\hat{\lambda}_n, \lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} - \sqrt{\lambda(t)} \right)^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2}. \tag{1}$$

We will consider the same general setup as in [5], i.e., we will assume the following conditions

(A1) λ is monotone and differentiable on $[0,1]$ with $0 < \inf_t |\lambda'(t)| \leq \sup_t |\lambda'(t)| < \infty$.

(A2') Let $M_n = \Lambda_n - \Lambda$. There exist $C > 0$ such that for all $x > 0$ and $t = 0, 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{u\in[0,1],x/2\leq|t-u|\leq x}\left(M_n(u) - M_n(t)\right)^2\right] \leq \frac{Cx}{n}. \tag{2}$$

Durot [5] also considered an additional condition (A2) in order to obtain bounds on p-th moments (see Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 in [5]). However, we only need condition (A2') for our purposes.

(A3) $\hat{\lambda}_n(0)$ and $\hat{\lambda}_n(1)$ are stochastically bounded.

(A4) Let B_n be either a Brownian bridge or a Brownian motion. There exists $q > 12$, $C_q > 0$, $L : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and versions of $M_n = \Lambda_n - \Lambda$ and B_n, such that

$$P\left(n^{1-1/q}\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\left|M_n(t) - n^{-1/2}B_n \circ L(t)\right| > x\right) \leq C_q x^{-q}$$

for $x \in (0, n]$. Moreover, L is increasing and twice differentiable on $[0, 1]$ with $\sup_t |L''(t)| < \infty$ and $\inf_t L'(t) > 0$.

In [5] a variety of statistical models are discussed for which the above assumptions are satisfied, such as estimation of a monotone probability density, a monotone regression function, and a monotone failure rate under right censoring. In Section 4, we briefly discuss the consequence of our main result for these models. We restrict ourselves to the case of a non-increasing function λ. The case of non-decreasing λ can be treated similarly.

The reason that one can expect a central limit theorem for the Hellinger distance is the fact that the squared Hellinger distance can be approximated by a weighted squared L_2-distance. This can be seen as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1\left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} - \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t &= \int_0^1\left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)\right)^2\left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)^{-2}\mathrm{d}t \\ &\approx \int_0^1\left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)\right)^2(4\lambda(t))^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Since L_2-distances for Grenander-type estimators obey a central limit theorem (e.g., see [11, 12, 6, 18, 5]), similar behavior might be expected for the squared Hellinger distance. An application of the delta-method will then do the rest.

In order to make the approximation in (3) precise, we need the preparatory lemma below. To this end, we introduce the inverse of $\hat{\lambda}_n$, defined by

$$\hat{U}_n(a) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{u\in[0,1]}\left\{\Lambda_n^+(u) - au\right\}, \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\Lambda_n^+(t) = \max\left\{\Lambda_n(t), \lim_{u\uparrow t}\Lambda_n(u)\right\}.$$

Note that

$$\hat{\lambda}_n(t) > a \Rightarrow \hat{U}_n(a) \geq t. \tag{5}$$

Furthermore, let g denote the inverse of λ. We then have the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume (A1), (A2'), (A3), and (A4). Moreover, suppose that there are $C' > 0$ and $s > 3/4$ with*

$$|\lambda'(t) - \lambda'(x)| \leq C'|t - x|^s, \quad \text{for all } t, x \in [0, 1]. \tag{6}$$

Then

$$\int_0^1 |\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)|^3 \, \mathrm{d}t = o_P\left(n^{-5/6}\right).$$

Proof. We follow the line of reasoning in the first step of the proof of Theorem 2 in [5] with $p = 3$. For completeness we briefly sketch the main steps. We will first show that

$$\int_0^1 |\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)|^3 \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\lambda(0)}^{\lambda(1)} |\hat{U}_n(b) - g(b)|^3 \lambda'(g(b))^2 \, \mathrm{d}b + o_P(n^{-5/6}).$$

To this end, consider

$$I_1 = \int_0^1 \left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)\right)_+^3 \mathrm{d}t, \qquad I_2 = \int_0^1 \left(\lambda(t) - \hat{\lambda}_n(t)\right)_+^3 \mathrm{d}t,$$

where $x_+ = \max\{x, 0\}$. We approximate I_1 by

$$J_1 = \int_0^1 \int_0^{(\lambda(0)-\lambda(t))^3} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\hat{\lambda}_n(t) \geq \lambda(t) + a^{1/3}\right\}} \, \mathrm{d}a \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

From the reasoning on page 1092 of [5], we deduce that

$$0 \leq I_1 - J_1 \leq \int_0^{n^{-1/3}\log n} \left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)\right)_+^3 \mathrm{d}t + |\hat{\lambda}_n(0) - \lambda(1)|^3 \mathbb{1}_{\left\{n^{1/3}\hat{U}_n(\lambda(0)) > \log n\right\}}.$$

Since the $\hat{\lambda}_n(0)$ is stochastically bounded and $\lambda(1)$ is bounded, together with Lemma 4 in [5], the second term is of the order $o_p(n^{-5/6})$. Furthermore, for the first term we can choose $p' \in [1, 2)$ such that the first term on the right hand side is bounded by

$$|\hat{\lambda}_n(0) - \lambda(1)|^{3-p'} \int_0^{n^{-1/3}\log n} |\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)|^{p'} \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

As in [5], we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^{n^{-1/3}\log n} |\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)|^{p'} \, \mathrm{d}t\right] \leq K n^{-(1+p')/3} \log n = o(n^{-5/6}),$$

by choosing $p' \in (3/2, 2)$. It follows that $I_1 = J_1 + o_P(n^{-5/6})$. By a change of variable $b = \lambda(t) + a^{1/3}$, we find

$$I_1 = \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} 3(b - \lambda(t))^2 \mathbb{1}_{\left\{g(b) < \hat{U}_n(b)\right\}} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}b + o_p(n^{-5/6}).$$

Then, by a Taylor expansion, (A1) and (6), there exists a $K > 0$, such that

$$\left|(b - \lambda(t))^2 - \left\{ (g(b) - t) \lambda'(g(b)) \right\}^2\right| \leq K \left(t - g(b)\right)^{2+s}, \tag{7}$$

for all $b \in (\lambda(1), \lambda(0))$ and $t \in (g(b), 1]$. We find

$$I_1 = \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} 3(t - g(b))^2 \lambda'(g(b))^2 \mathbb{1}_{\left\{g(b) < \hat{U}_n(b)\right\}} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}b + R_n + o_p(n^{-5/6}), \tag{8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n| &\leq \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} 3K(t - g(b))^{2+s} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{g(b) < \hat{U}_n(b)\right\}} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}b \\ &= \frac{3K}{3+s} \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} |\hat{U}_n(b) - g(b)|^{3+s} \, \mathrm{d}b = O_p(n^{-(3+s)/3}) = o_p(n^{-5/6}), \end{aligned}$$

by using (23) from [5], i.e., for every $q' < 3(q-1)$, there exists $K_{q'} > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(n^{1/3}|\hat{U}_n(a) - g(a)|\right)^{q'}\right] \leq K_{q'}, \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{9}$$

It follows that

$$I_1 = \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \left(\hat{U}_n(b) - g(b)\right)^3 \lambda'(g(b))^2 \mathbb{1}_{\left\{g(b) < \hat{U}_n(b)\right\}} \, \mathrm{d}b + o_p(n^{-5/6}).$$

In the same way, one finds

$$I_2 = \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \left(g(b) - \hat{U}_n(b)\right)^3 \lambda'(g(b))^2 \mathbb{1}_{\left\{g(b) > \hat{U}_n(b)\right\}} \, \mathrm{d}b + o_p(n^{-5/6}),$$

and it follows that

$$\int_0^1 |\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)|^3 \, \mathrm{d}t = I_1 + I_2 = \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} |\hat{U}_n(b) - g(b)|^3 \lambda'(g(b))^2 \, \mathrm{d}b + o_p(n^{-5/6}).$$

Now, since λ' is bounded, by Markov's inequality, for each $\epsilon > 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(n^{5/6} \int_{\lambda(0)}^{\lambda(1)} |\hat{U}_n(b) - g(b)|^3 \lambda'(g(b))^2 \, \mathrm{d}b > \epsilon\right) \\ &\quad \leq \frac{1}{c\epsilon n^{1/6}} \int_{\lambda(0)}^{\lambda(1)} \mathbb{E}\left[n|\hat{U}_n(b) - g(b)|^3\right] \, \mathrm{d}b \leq K n^{-1/6} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality we again used (9) with $q' = 3$. It follows that

$$\int_{\lambda(0)}^{\lambda(1)} |\hat{U}_n(b) - g(b)|^3 \lambda'(g(b))^2 \, \mathrm{d}b = o_P(n^{5/6}), \tag{10}$$

which finishes the proof. □

The approximation in (3) can now be made precise.

Lemma 2.2. *Under the conditions of Lemma 2.1 and if λ is strictly positive, we have that*

$$\int_0^1 \left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} - \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)^2 \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^1 \left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)\right)^2 (4\lambda(t))^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}t + o_p(n^{-5/6}).$$

Proof. Similar to (3), we write

$$\int_0^1 \left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} - \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^1 \left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)\right)^2 (4\lambda(t))^{-1} \mathrm{d}t + R_n,$$

where

$$R_n = \int_0^1 \left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)\right)^2 \left\{ \left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)^{-2} - (4\lambda(t))^{-1} \right\} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} 4\lambda(t) - \left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)^2 &= \lambda(t) - \hat{\lambda}_n(t) - 2\sqrt{\lambda(t)} \left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} - \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right) \\ &= \left(\lambda(t) - \hat{\lambda}_n(t)\right) \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda(t)}}{\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \lambda(1) \leq \lambda(t) \leq \lambda(0) < \infty$, this implies that

$$|R_n| \leq \int_0^1 \left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)\right)^2 \frac{\left|4\lambda(t) - \left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)^2\right|}{4\lambda(t) \left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)^2} \mathrm{d}t \leq C \int_0^1 \left|\hat{\lambda}_n(t) - \lambda(t)\right|^3 \mathrm{d}t,$$

for some positive constant C only depending on $\lambda(0)$ and $\lambda(1)$. Then, from Lemma 2.1, it follows that $n^{5/6} R_n = o_P(1)$. □

3. Main result

In order to formulate the central limit theorem for the Hellinger distance, we introduce the process X, defined as

$$X(a) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ W(u) - (u-a)^2 \right\}, \qquad a \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{11}$$

with W being a standard two-sided Brownian motion. This process was introduced and investigated in [11, 10] and plays a key role in the asymptotic behavior of isotonic estimators. The distribution of the random variable $X(0)$ is the pointwise limiting distribution of several isotonic estimators and the constant

$$k_2 = \int_0^\infty \operatorname{cov}\left(|X(0)|^2, |X(a) - a|^2\right) \mathrm{d}a, \tag{12}$$

appears in the limit variance of the L_p-error of isotonic estimators (e.g., see [11], [12], [6], [18], and [5]). We then have the following central limit theorem for the squared Hellinger loss.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume (A1), (A2'), (A3), (A4), and* (6). *Moreover, suppose that* λ *is strictly positive. Then, the following holds*

$$n^{1/6}\left\{n^{2/3}\int_0^1\left(\sqrt{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)}-\sqrt{\lambda(t)}\right)^2\,\mathrm{d}t-\mu^2\right\}\to N(0,\sigma^2),$$

where

$$\mu^2=\mathbb{E}\left[|X(0)|^2\right]\int_0^1\frac{|\lambda'(t)\,L'(t)|^{2/3}}{2^{2/3}\lambda(t)}\,\mathrm{d}t,\qquad \sigma^2=2^{1/3}k_2\int_0^1\frac{|\lambda'(t)\,L'(t)|^{2/3}L'(t)}{\lambda(t)^2}\,\mathrm{d}t,$$

where k_2 *is defined in* (12).

Proof. According to Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to show that $n^{1/6}\left(n^{2/3}I_n-\mu^2\right)\to N(0,\sigma^2)$, with

$$I_n=\int_0^1\left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t)-\lambda(t)\right)^2(4\lambda(t))^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Again, we follow the same line of reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2 in [5]. We briefly sketch the main steps of the proof. We first express I_n in terms of the inverse process $\hat{U}_n$, defined in (4). To this end, similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1, consider

$$\tilde{I}_1=\int_0^1\left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t)-\lambda(t)\right)_+^2(4\lambda(t))^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}t,\qquad \tilde{I}_2=\int_0^1\left(\lambda(t)-\hat{\lambda}_n(t)\right)_+^2(4\lambda(t))^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

For the first integral, we can now write

$$\tilde{I}_1=\int_0^1\int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)\geq\lambda(t)+\sqrt{4a\lambda(t)}\right\}}\,\mathrm{d}a\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Then, if we introduce

$$\tilde{J}_1=\int_0^1\int_0^{(\lambda(0)-\lambda(t))^2/4\lambda(t)} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)\geq\lambda(t)+\sqrt{4a\lambda(t)}\right\}}\,\mathrm{d}a\,\mathrm{d}t, \tag{13}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0\leq\tilde{I}_1-\tilde{J}_1&\leq\int_0^{\hat{U}_n(\lambda(0))}\int_{(\lambda(0)-\lambda(t))^2/4a\lambda(t)}^\infty \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\hat{\lambda}_n(t)\geq\lambda(t)+\sqrt{4a\lambda(t)}\right\}}\,\mathrm{d}a\,\mathrm{d}t\\&\leq\frac{1}{4\lambda(1)}\int_0^{\hat{U}_n(\lambda(0))}\left(\hat{\lambda}_n(t)-\lambda(t)\right)_+^2\,\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the reasoning in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $\tilde{I}_1 = \tilde{J}_1 + o_p(n^{-5/6})$. Next, the change of variable $b = \lambda(t) + \sqrt{4a\lambda(t)}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}_1 &= \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} \frac{b-\lambda(t)}{2\lambda(t)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{U}_n(b)>g(b)\}} \,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}b \\ &= \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} \frac{b-\lambda(t)}{2b} \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{U}_n(b)>g(b)\}} \,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}b \qquad (14) \\ &\quad + \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} \frac{(b-\lambda(t))^2}{2b\lambda(t)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{U}_n(b)>g(b)\}} \,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}b.\end{aligned}$$

Let us first consider the second integral on the right hand side of (14). We then have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} \frac{(b-\lambda(t))^2}{2b\lambda(t)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{U}_n(b)>g(b)\}} \,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}b \\ &\quad\le \frac{1}{2\lambda(1)^2} \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} (b-\lambda(t))^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{U}_n(b)>g(b)\}} \,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}b \\ &\quad\le \frac{1}{2\lambda(1)^2} \sup_{x\in[0,1]} |\lambda'(x)| \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{U}_n(b)>g(b)\}} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} (t-g(b))^2 \,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}b \\ &\quad= \frac{1}{6\lambda(1)^2} \sup_{x\in[0,1]} |\lambda'(x)| \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{U}_n(b)>g(b)\}} \left(\hat{U}_n(b)-g(b)\right)^3 \,\mathrm{d}b = o_P(n^{-5/6}),\end{aligned}$$

again by using (9) with $q' = 3$. Then consider the first integral on the right hand side of (14). Similar to (7), there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$|(b-\lambda(t)-(g(b)-t)\lambda'(g(b)))| \le K(t-g(b))^{1+s},$$

for all $b \in (\lambda(1), \lambda(0))$ and $t \in (g(b), 1]$. Taking into account that $\lambda'(g(b)) < 0$, similar to (8), it follows that

$$\tilde{I}_1 = \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} \frac{|\lambda'(g(b))|}{2b} (t-g(b)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{U}_n(b)>g(b)\}} \,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}b + \tilde{R}_n + o_p(n^{-5/6}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{R}_n| &\le \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \int_{g(b)}^{\hat{U}_n(b)} 2K(t-g(b))^{1+s} \mathbb{1}_{\{g(b)<\hat{U}_n(b)\}} \,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}b \\ &= \frac{2K}{2+s} \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} |\hat{U}_n(b)-g(b)|^{2+s} \,\mathrm{d}b = O_p(n^{-(2+s)/3}) = o_p(n^{-5/6}),\end{aligned}$$

by using (9) once more, and the fact that $s > 3/4$. It follows that

$$\tilde{I}_1 = \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \frac{|\lambda'(g(b))|}{4b} \left(\hat{U}_n(b)-g(b)\right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{U}_n(b)>g(b)\}} \,\mathrm{d}b + o_p(n^{-5/6}).$$

In the same way

$$\tilde{I}_2 = \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \frac{|\lambda'(g(b))|}{4b} \left(\hat{U}_n(b) - g(b)\right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\hat{U}_n(b) < g(b)\right\}} \,\mathrm{d}b + o_p(n^{-5/6}),$$

so that

$$I_n = \tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2 = \int_{\lambda(1)}^{\lambda(0)} \left(\hat{U}_n(b) - g(b)\right)^2 \frac{|\lambda'(g(b))|}{4b} \,\mathrm{d}b + o_P(n^{-5/6}).$$

We then mimic step 2 in the proof of Theorem 2 in [5]. Consider the representation

$$B_n(t) = W_n(t) - \xi_n t,$$

where W_n is a standard Brownian motion, $\xi_n = 0$ if B_n is Brownian motion, and ξ_n is a standard normal random variable independent of B_n, if B_n is a Brownian bridge. Then, define

$$\mathbb{W}_t(u) = n^{1/6} \left\{W_n(L(t) + n^{-1/3}) - W_n(L(t))\right\}, \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1],$$

which has the same distribution as a standard Brownian motion. Now, for $t \in [0,1]$, let $d(t) = |\lambda'(t)|/(2L'(t)^2)$ and define

$$\tilde{V}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{|u| \le \log n} \left\{\mathbb{W}_t(u) - d(t)u^2\right\}. \tag{15}$$

Then similar to (26) in [5], we will obtain

$$n^{2/3} I_n = \int_0^1 \left|\tilde{V}(t) - n^{-1/6} \frac{\xi_n}{2d(t)}\right|^2 \left|\frac{\lambda'(t)}{L'(t)}\right|^2 \frac{1}{4\lambda(t)} \,\mathrm{d}t + o_P(n^{-1/6}). \tag{16}$$

To prove (16), by using the approximation

$$\hat{U}_n(a) - g(a) \approx \frac{L(\hat{U}_n(a)) - L(g(a))}{L'(g(a))}$$

and a change of variable $a^\xi = a - n^{1/2}\xi_n L'(g(a))$, we first obtain

$$n^{2/3} I_n = n^{2/3} \int_{\lambda(1)+\delta_n}^{\lambda(0)-\delta_n} \left|L(\hat{U}_n(a^\xi)) - L(g(a^\xi))\right|^2 \frac{|\lambda'(g(a))|}{(L'(g(a)))^2} \frac{1}{4a} \,\mathrm{d}a + o_p(n^{-1/6}),$$

where $\delta_n = n^{-1/6}/\log n$. Apart from the factor $1/4a$, the integral on the right hand side is the same as in the proof of Theorem 2 in [5] for $p = 2$. This means that we can apply the same series of succeeding approximations for $L(\hat{U}_n(a^\xi)) - L(g(a^\xi))$ as in [5], which yields

$$n^{2/3} I_n = n^{2/3} \int_{\lambda(1)+\delta_n}^{\lambda(0)-\delta_n} \left|\tilde{V}(g(a)) - n^{-1/6} \frac{\xi_n}{2d(g(a))}\right|^2 \frac{|\lambda'(g(a))|}{(L'(g(a)))^2} \frac{1}{4a} \,\mathrm{d}a + o_p(n^{-1/6}).$$

Finally, because the integrals over $[\lambda(1), \lambda(1) + \delta_n]$ and $[\lambda(0) - \delta_n, \lambda(0)]$ are of the order $o_p(n^{-1/6})$, this yields (16) by a change of variables $t = g(a)$.

The next step is to show that the term with ξ_n can be removed from (16). This can be done exactly as in [5], since the only difference with the corresponding integral in [5] is the factor $1/4\lambda(t)$, which is bounded and does not influence the argument in [5]. We find that

$$n^{2/3} I_n = \int_0^1 |\tilde{V}(t)|^2 \left| \frac{\lambda'(t)}{L'(t)} \right|^2 \frac{1}{4\lambda(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t + o_P(n^{-1/6}).$$

Then define

$$Y_n(t) = \left(|\tilde{V}(t)|^2 - \mathbb{E}\left[|\tilde{V}(t)|^2 \right] \right) \left| \frac{\lambda'(t)}{L'(t)} \right|^2 \frac{1}{4\lambda(t)}. \tag{17}$$

By approximating $\tilde{V}(t)$ by

$$V(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \mathbb{W}_t(u) - d(t) u^2 \right\},$$

and using that, by Brownian scaling, $d(t)^{2/3} V(t)$ has the same distribution as $X(0)$, see [5] for details, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[|\tilde{V}(t)|^2 \right] \left| \frac{\lambda'(t)}{L'(t)} \right|^2 \frac{1}{4\lambda(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t &= \mathbb{E}\left[|X(0)|^2 \right] \int_0^1 d(t)^{-4/3} \left| \frac{\lambda'(t)}{L'(t)} \right|^2 \frac{1}{4\lambda(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t + o(n^{-1/6}) \\ &= \mu^2 + o(n^{-1/6}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$n^{1/6}(I_n - \mu^2) = n^{1/6} \int_0^1 Y_n(t) \, \mathrm{d}t + o_P(1).$$

We then first show that

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(n^{1/6} \int_0^1 Y_n(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right) \to \sigma^2. \tag{18}$$

Once more, following the proof in [5] we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &= \operatorname{Var}\left(\int_0^1 Y_n(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right) \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \int_s^1 \left| \frac{\lambda'(t)}{L'(t)} \frac{\lambda'(s)}{L'(s)} \right|^2 \frac{1}{4\lambda(t)} \frac{1}{4\lambda(s)} \operatorname{cov}(|\tilde{V}(t)|^2, |\tilde{V}(s)|^2) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned}$$

After the same sort of approximations as in [5], we get

$$v_n = 2 \int_0^1 \int_s^{\min(1, s + c_n)} \left| \frac{\lambda'(s)}{L'(s)} \right|^4 \frac{1}{(4\lambda(s))^2} \operatorname{cov}(|V_t(s)|^2, |V_s(s)|^2) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}s + o(n^{-1/3}),$$

where $c_n = 2n^{-1/3} \log n / \inf_t L'(t)$ and where, for all s and t,

$$V_t(s) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \mathbb{W}_t(u) - d(s) u^2 \right\}.$$

Then use that $d(s)^{2/3} V_t(s)$ has the same distribution as

$$X\big(n^{1/3} d(s)^{2/3} \big(L(t) - L(s)\big)\big) - n^{1/3} d(s) \big(L(t) - L(s)\big),$$

so that the change of variable $a = n^{1/3}d(s)^{2/3}(L(t) - L(s))$ in v_n leads to

$$n^{1/3}v_n \to 2\int_0^1 \int_0^\infty \left|\frac{\lambda'(s)}{L'(s)}\right|^4 \frac{1}{(4\lambda(s))^2}\frac{1}{d(s)^{10/3}L'(s)}\mathrm{cov}(|X(a)|^2, |X(0)|^2)\,\mathrm{d}a\,\mathrm{d}s$$
$$\to 2k_2\int_0^1 \left|\frac{\lambda'(s)}{L'(s)}\right|^4 \frac{1}{(4\lambda(s))^2}\frac{2^{10/3}|L'(s)|^{17/3}}{|\lambda'(s)|^{10/3}}\,\mathrm{d}s = \sigma^2,$$

which proves (18).

Finally, asymptotic normality of $n^{1/6}\int_0^1 Y_n(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$ follows by Bernstein's method of big blocks and small blocks in the same way as in step 6 of the proof of Theorem 2 in [5]. □

Corollary 3.2. *Assume (A1), (A2'), (A3), (A4), and* (6) *and let* $H(\hat{\lambda}_n, \lambda)$ *be the Hellinger distance defined in* (1)*. Moreover, suppose that* λ *is strictly positive. Then,*

$$n^{1/6}\left\{n^{1/3}H(\hat{\lambda}_n, \lambda) - \tilde{\mu}\right\} \to N(0, \tilde{\sigma}^2),$$

where $\tilde{\mu} = 2^{-1/2}\mu$ *and* $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2/8\mu^2$*, where* μ^2 *and* σ^2 *are defined in Theorem 3.1.*

Proof. This follows immediately by applying the delta method with $\phi(x) = 2^{-1/2}\sqrt{x}$ to the result in Theorem 3.1. □

4. Discussion

The type of scaling for the Hellinger distance in Corollary 3.2 is similar to that in the central limit theorem for L_p-distances. This could be expected in view of the approximation in terms of a weighted squared L_2-distance, see Lemma 2.2, and the results, e.g., in [18] and [5]. Actually, this is not always the case. The phenomenon of observing different speeds of convergence for the Hellinger distance from those we for the L_1 and L_2 norms is considered in [1]. In fact, this is related to the existence of a lower bound for the function we are estimating. If the function of interest is bounded from below, which is the case considered in this paper, then the approximation (3) holds, see [1] for an explanation.

When we insert the expressions for μ^2 and σ^2 from Theorem 3.1, then we get

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{k_2}{4\mathbb{E}\left[|X(0)|^2\right]}\frac{\int_0^1 |\lambda'(t)L'(t)|^{2/3}L'(t)\lambda(t)^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}t}{\int_0^1 |\lambda'(t)L'(t)|^{2/3}\lambda(t)^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}t},$$

where k_2 is defined in (12). This means that in statistical models where $L = \Lambda$ in condition (A4), and hence $L' = \lambda$, the limiting variance $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = k_2/(4\mathbb{E}[|X(0)|^2])$ does not depend on λ.

One such a model is estimation of the common monotone density λ on $[0, 1]$ of independent random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$. Then, Λ_n is the empirical distribution function of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ and $\hat{\lambda}_n$ is Grenander's estimator [9]. In that case, if $\inf_t \lambda(t) > 0$, the conditions of Corollary 3.2 are satisfied with $L = \Lambda$ (see Theorem 6 in [5]), so that the limiting variance of the Hellinger loss for the Grenander estimator does not depend on the underlying density. This behavior was conjectured in [28] and coincides with that of the limiting

variance in the central limit theorem for the L_1-error for the Grenander estimator, first discovered by [11] (see also [12, 6] and [18, 5]).

Another example is when we observe independent identically distributed inhomogeneous Poisson processes $N_1, \ldots, N_n$ with common mean function Λ on $[0,1]$ with derivative λ, for which $\Lambda(1) < \infty$. Then Λ_n is the restriction of $(N_1 + \cdots + N_n)/n$ to $[0,1]$. Also in that case, the conditions of Corollary 3.2 are satisfied with $L = \Lambda$ (see Theorem 4 in [5]), so that the limiting variance of the Hellinger loss for $\hat{\lambda}_n$ does not depend on the common underlying intensity λ. However, note that for this model, the L_1-loss for $\hat{\lambda}_n$ is asymptotically normal according to Theorem 2 in [5], but with limiting variance depending on the value $\Lambda(1) - \Lambda(0)$.

Consider the monotone regression model $y_{i,n} = \lambda(i/n) + \epsilon_{i,n}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where the $\epsilon_{i,n}$'s are i.i.d. random variables with mean zero and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. Let

$$\Lambda_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \leq nt} y_{i,n}, \quad t \in [0,1],$$

be the empirical distribution function. Then $\hat{\lambda}_n$ is (a slight modification of) Brunk's estimator from [3]. Under appropriate moment conditions on the $\epsilon_{i,n}$, the conditions of Corollary 3.2 are satisfied with $L(t) = t\sigma^2$ (see Theorem 5 in [5]). In this case, the limiting variance of the Hellinger loss for $\hat{\lambda}_n$ depends on both λ and σ^2, whereas the the L_1-loss for $\hat{\lambda}_n$ is asymptotically normal according to Theorem 2 in [5], but with limiting variance only depending on σ^2.

Suppose we observe a right-censored sample $(X_1, \Delta_1), \ldots, (X_n, \Delta_n)$, where $X_i = \min(T_i, Y_i)$ and $\Delta_i = \mathbb{1}_{\{T_i \leq Y_i\}}$, with the T_i's being nonnegative i.i.d. failure times and the Y_i's are i.i.d. censoring times independent of the T_i's. Let F be the distribution function of the T_i's with density f and let G be the distribution function of the Y_i's. The parameter of interest is the monotone failure rat $\lambda = f/(1-F)$ on $[0,1]$. In this case, Λ_n is the restriction of he Nelson-Aalen estimator to $[0,1]$. If we assume (A1) and $\inf_t \lambda(t) > 0$, then under suitable assumptions on F and G the conditions of Corollary 3.2 hold with

$$L(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\lambda(u)}{(1 - F(u)))(1 - G(u))} \, \mathrm{d}u, \quad t \in [0,1],$$

(see Theorem 3 in [5]). This means that the limiting variance of the Hellinger loss depends on λ, F and G, whereas the limiting variance of the L_1-loss depends only on their values at 0 and 1. In particular, in the case of nonrandom censoring times, $L = (1-F)^{-1} - 1$, the limiting variance of the Hellinger loss depends on λ and F, whereas the limiting variance of the L_1-loss depends only on the value $F(1)$.

References

[1] Lucien Birgé. On estimating a density using Hellinger distance and some other strange facts. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 71.2 (1986), 271–291.

[2] Lucien Birgé and Pascal Massart. Rates of convergence for minimum contrast estimators. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 97.1-2 (1993), 113–150.

[3] H. D. Brunk. On the estimation of parameters restricted by inequalities. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 29 (1958), 437–454.

[4] Charles R. Doss and Jon A. Wellner. Global rates of convergence of the MLEs of log-concave and s-concave densities. *Ann. Statist.* 44.3 (2016), 954–981.

[5] Cécile Durot. On the $\mathbb{L}_p$-error of monotonicity constrained estimators. *Ann. Statist.* 35.3 (2007), 1080–1104.

[6] Cécile Durot. Sharp asymptotics for isotonic regression. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 122.2 (2002), 222–240.

[7] Cécile Durot, Vladimir N. Kulikov, and Hendrik P. Lopuhaä. The limit distribution of the L_∞-error of Grenander-type estimators. *Ann. Statist.* 40.3 (2012), 1578–1608.

[8] Sara A. van de Geer. Applications of empirical process theory. Vol. 6. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000, pp. xii+286.

[9] Ulf Grenander. On the theory of mortality measurement. II. *Skand. Aktuarietidskr.* 39 (1956), 125–153 (1957).

[10] Piet Groeneboom. Brownian motion with a parabolic drift and Airy functions. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 81.1 (1989), 79–109.

[11] Piet Groeneboom. "Estimating a monotone density". In: *Proceedings of the Berkeley conference in honor of Jerzy Neyman and Jack Kiefer, Vol. II (Berkeley, Calif., 1983)*. Wadsworth Statist./Probab. Ser. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 1985, pp. 539–555.

[12] Piet Groeneboom, Gerard Hooghiemstra, and Hendrik P. Lopuhaä. Asymptotic normality of the L_1 error of the Grenander estimator. *Ann. Statist.* 27.4 (1999), 1316–1347.

[13] Piet Groeneboom and Jon A. Wellner. Information bounds and nonparametric maximum likelihood estimation. Vol. 19. DMV Seminar. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag, 1992, pp. viii+126.

[14] Jian Huang and Jon A. Wellner. Estimation of a monotone density or monotone hazard under random censoring. *Scand. J. Statist.* 22.1 (1995), 3–33.

[15] Youping Huang and Cun-Hui Zhang. Estimating a monotone density from censored observations. *Ann. Statist.* 22.3 (1994), 1256–1274.

[16] Arlene K. H. Kim, A. Guntuboyina, and Richard J. Samworth. Adaptation in log-concave density estimation. *arXiv:1609.00861* (2016).

[17] Arlene K. H. Kim and Richard J. Samworth. Global rates of convergence in log-concave density estimation. *Ann. Statist.* 44.6 (2016), 2756–2779.

[18] Vladimir N. Kulikov and Hendrik P. Lopuhaä. Asymptotic normality of the L_k-error of the Grenander estimator. *Ann. Statist.* 33.5 (2005), 2228–2255.

[19] Lucien Le Cam. On the weak convergence of probability measures. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 41 (1970), 621–625.

[20] L. LeCam. Convergence of estimates under dimensionality restrictions. *Ann. Statist.* 1 (1973), 38–53.

[21] Hendrik P. Lopuhaä and Gabriela F. Nane. Shape constrained non-parametric estimators of the baseline distribution in Cox proportional hazards model. *Scand. J. Stat.* 40.3 (2013), 619–646.

[22] Albert W. Marshall and Frank Proschan. Maximum likelihood estimation for distributions with monotone failure rate. *Ann. Math. Statist* 36 (1965), 69–77.

[23] Jayanta Kumar Pal, Michael Woodroofe, and Mary Meyer. Estimating a Polya frequency function$_2$. In: *Complex datasets and inverse problems*. Vol. 54. IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser. Inst. Math. Statist., Beachwood, OH, 2007, pp. 239–249.

[24] B. L. S. Prakasa Rao. Estimation for distributions with monotone failure rate. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 41 (1970), 507–519.

[25] B. L. S. Prakasa Rao. Estimation of a unimodal density. *Sankhyā Ser. A* 31 (1969), 23–36.

[26] Arseni Seregin and Jon A. Wellner. Nonparametric estimation of multivariate convex-transformed densities. *Ann. Statist.* 38.6 (2010). With supplementary material available online, 3751–3781.

[27] Aad W. van der Vaart and Jon A. Wellner. Weak convergence and empirical processes. Springer Series in Statistics. With applications to statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996, pp. xvi+508.

[28] Jon A. Wellner. Musings about shape constrained estimation and inference: some problems. *Presentation at workshop Shape Constrained Inference: Open Problems and New Directions* (2015), Lorentz Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands, 2015.

[29] Wing Hung Wong and Xiaotong Shen. Probability inequalities for likelihood ratios and convergence rates of sieve MLEs. *Ann. Statist.* 23.2 (1995), 339–362.